



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.                   | CONFIRMATION NO.       |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|
| 10/821,746  | 04/09/2004  | Mark A. Piwonka      | 200313976-1                           | 2449                   |
| 22879 7590 10/26/2007<br>HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY<br>P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD<br>INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION<br>FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400 |             |                      | EXAMINER<br>PERUNGAVOOR, VENKATANARAY |                        |
|   |             |                      | ART UNIT<br>2132                      | PAPER NUMBER           |
|   |             |                      | MAIL DATE<br>10/26/2007               | DELIVERY MODE<br>PAPER |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/821,746

Applicant(s)

PIWONKA ET AL.

Examiner

Venkat Perungavoor

Art Unit

2132

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 April 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 09 April 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/9/04.
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Art Unit: 2132

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101*

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-9, 21-26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because

The claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 1-9 recites an system that includes logic described as a software see Page 3 Par. 0016.

The claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 21-24 recites medium described as signals see Page 3 Par.0014.

The claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claim 25-26 recites means that can be software see Page 2 Par. 0012.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2132

Claims 1-3, 5-7, 9-12, 15-18, 21-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 2002/0116604 A1 to Thayer.

Regarding Claim 1, 16, 21,25, 27, Thayer discloses the securing of one or more ports of a computing device that includes a configuration logic configured to provide a security option for securing one or more selected ports see Par. 0026 & Par. 0029 & Par. 0042-0043 & Par. 0046(the debugging is akin to securely accessing code); and a security logic configured to, in response to the security option being selected, cause the data store to be modified by changing the port count to specify a fewer number of physical ports to cause an operating system to not detect the one or more ports see Par. 0057-0061(Thayer discloses the ports being labeled user-inaccessible, user-invisible among others) & Par. 0053.

Regarding Claim 2, 15, 22, Thayer discloses the register configured to store host controller structural parameters based on enhanced host controller interface specification see Par. 0012 &Par. 0015.

Regarding Claim 3, 5-6, 11-12, 17-18, 26, 28. Thayer discloses the port count and having the some ports modified to hide the selected ports see Par. 0049-0050.

Regarding Claim 7, Thayer discloses the graphical user interface see Fig. 3.

Regarding Claim 9, Thayer discloses the front ports of the computing device see Fig. 1A & 1B.

Art Unit: 2132

Regarding Claim 10, Thayer discloses the housing see Fig. 1A; one or more processors see Fig. 1A item 108; one or more ports positioned on the housing and being accessible by a user to connect an external device see Fig. 1D & Fig. 1A item 116; one or more back ports, positioned on the housing, being configured to connect external device see Fig. 1A item 112; a structural parameter configured to indicate total number of ports and prohibiting operation of some of the ports see Fig. 1A item 110; operating system configured to enumerate ports and the front ports to be undetectable by the operating system see Par. 0057-0061 (Thayer discloses the ports being labeled user-inaccessible, user-invisible among others) & Par. 0053.

Regarding Claim 20, 23, Thayer discloses the different modes of operation see Fig. 3.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 8, 13-14, 19, 24, 29-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 2002/0116604 A1 to Thayer in view of US Patent Publication 2005/0010811 A1 to Zimmer et al. (hereinafter Zimmer)

Art Unit: 2132

Regarding Claim 4, 14, 19, 24, Thayer does not disclose the companion controllers associated with ports, the security logic configured for operating system unaware of the companion controller.

However, Zimmer discloses the companion controllers associated with ports, the security logic configured for operating system unaware of the companion controller see Fig. 8 item 442, 436, 434 & Abstract. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the companion controllers associated with ports, the security logic configured for operating system unaware of the companion controller in the invention of Thayer in order to for controllers to perform special operations as taught in Par. 0022.

Regarding Claim 8, 13, 29-30, Thayer does not disclose the configuration logic and security logic. However, Zimmer discloses the configuration logic and security logic see Par. 0019 & Par. 0024. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the configuration logic and security logic in the invention of Thayer in order to extending the functionality of BIOS as taught in see Par. 0033.

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Venkat Perungavoor whose telephone number is 571-272-7213. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2132

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.


/VP/

Venkat Perungavoor

Examiner

Art Unit 2132

October 15, 2007

  
Benjamin G. Lander  
Examiner AU 2132